Best Available Copy

PAGE 8/9 * RCVD AT 12/31/2007 4:24:27 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/35 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):03-42

Application/Control Number: 10/534,501

Art Unit: 3671 December 31, 2007

Page 8

Remarks

It is submitted that the claims amended with the applicant' previous response of 15 August 2007 were:

- -Claim 36 (independent);
- Claims 40, 42, 45, \$4.
- -Independent Claims 71 and 72 were new.

Independent Claim 36 contained the additional step of:

-- locating existing cavities in a wall system of a hullding.

introducing also the limitation of performing the other steps in "said wall system" (of a building).

The feature "building" is generally known to refer to "a usually roofed and walled structure built for permanent use (as for a dwelling)" (Merriam Webster's).

The wall system of a building is clearly defined in the specification, at page 1, lines 9-12 and the the defects of the "masonry" that are to be repaired are further set forth (see the specification page 2, lines 13-30).

Independent claims 11 and 72 comprised the limitations:

"in locating existing cavities in a wall system made of masonry;"

and, respectively,

"in locating existing cavities in a wall system that is built with faces thereof that lie along opposite planes of arrangement;

-- in providing spaced injection holes within said wall system with a longitudinal extension that is contained between said planes of arrangement and in a manner suitable to pass through said cavities that exist therein".

None of such limitations were considered in the Final Rejection.

None of such limitations is taught or suggested in the cited prior art.

Best Available Copy

PAGE 919 * RCVD AT 12/31/2007 4:24:27 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/35 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):03-42

Application/Control Number: 10/534,501

Art Unit: 3671 December 31, 2007 Page 9.

Therefore, the claims as pending now in the application are believed to be allowable.

Reconsideration of the final rejection and allowance of the claims pending in examination is respectfully solicited.

While it is believed that the amended claims properly and clearly define the present invention, applicant would be open to any suggestion or amendment the Examiner may have or propose concerning different claim phraseology which, in the Examiner's opinion, more accurately defines the present invention.

Respectfully submitted,

Agent for the Applicant

Date:

December 31, 2007

Address:

Via Meravigli 16, 20123 MILAN-ITALY

Telephone:

(from USA) (011)(39)(02)8590-7777

Telefax:

(from USA)(011)(39)(02)863-860